

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ROLF BETKA,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

vs.

STATE OF OREGON, RICHARD B.  
SPOONER, HOWARD CLYMAN,  
DOUGLAS S. ROBERTSON, GARY R.  
OLSEN, BOARD OF EDUCATION OF  
WEST LINN HIGH SCHOOL  
DISTRICT NO. 3J, and LARRY G.  
HIBBARD,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 82-5754

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED  
STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOTION TO DISMISS OR AFFIRM

TERM: OCTOBER 1982

DONALD B. BOWERMAN  
1001 Molalla Avenue, Suite 200  
Post Office Box 667  
Oregon City, Oregon 97045  
(503) 656-5207

Of Attorneys for Defendants-  
Appellees West Linn High School  
District No. 3J and Larry G.  
Hibbard

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
I      Procedural Background . . . . .	1
II     The Proceeding is Frivolous . . . . .	2
III    There is a Defect in Jurisdiction . . . . .	2
IV     Conclusion . . . . .	3

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

PAGE

FEDERAL STATUTES

28 USC § 1252 . . . . . 2

RULES OF COURT

Supreme Court Rule 16 . . . . . 1

Appellees, West Linn High School District No. 3J and Larry G. Hibbard, move the Court for an order dismissing the appeal herein or in the alternative to affirm the order of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 16.

I

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff filed his complaint on or about January 22, 1981 presumably attempting to allege a variety of claims for violation of his civil rights. Defendants responded with motions to dismiss for failure to state a cause of action or motion for summary judgment. Plaintiff filed additional documents. Magistrate Juba entered Findings and Recommendations recommending one defendant be granted summary judgment and that plaintiff's action be dismissed as to the other defendants including West Linn School District No. 3J and Larry G. Hibbard.

Plaintiff filed additional documents including objection to the Findings and Recommendations of June 24, 1981. The objections claimed both a conspiracy and violations of plaintiff's rights.

On July 30, 1981, United States District Judge Helen J. Frye entered an order consistent with Magistrate Juba's recommendations dismissing plaintiff's action.

Numerous documents requesting reconsideration and rehearing were filed by plaintiff. All of them were denied. Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on October 27, 1981.

On January 4, 1982, Judge Frye ordered plaintiff to file cost bonds on the ground that his appeal was deemed frivolous and not in good faith. Plaintiff filed further documents in both the

District and Appellate Courts. A number of the defendants moved to dismiss plaintiff's appeal. On February 16, 1982, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals ordered the parties to submit statements discussing the Ninth Circuit's jurisdiction over the appeal. The Court of Appeals then ordered dismissal of plaintiff's appeal on the grounds that the appeal had not been timely filed and plaintiff had failed to file the necessary cost bonds.

## II

### THE PROCEEDING IS FRIVOLOUS

The allegation directed against West Linn High School District No. 3J and Larry G. Hibbard, Principal of West Linn High School, appears to contend that the School District, by engaging in "undocumented teachings" alienated the plaintiff from his children.

Magistrate Juba found that the allegation against Hibbard and the School District did not state a claim for violation of any rights, privileges or immunities secured by either the Constitution or Federal Law and that alienation of children's affection was not a claim that could be brought under either Federal Law or the Constitution.

## III

### THERE IS A DEFECT IN JURISDICTION

Appellant invokes the jurisdiction of this court under 28 USC § 1252 which provides:

"any party may appeal to the Supreme Court from an interlocutory or final judgment, decree or order of any court of the United States, \* \* \* holding an Act of Congress unconstitutional in any civil action, suit, or proceeding to which the United States or any of its agencies, or any officer or employee thereof, as such officer or employee is a party."

While there was a final order of the court of the Ninth

Circuit Court of Appeals, there was no order from any court holding any Act of Congress unconstitutional. Further, neither the United States or any agencies, or any officer or employee thereof was a party to appellant's complaint.

IV

CONCLUSION

Appellees West Linn High School District No. 3J and Larry Hibbard respectfully request the court to dismiss plaintiff's appeal or to affirm the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals' order for the following reasons:

1. Appellant failed to state a claim for relief in the District Court;
2. This entire proceeding is frivolous;
3. His appeal was properly dismissed by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on the grounds that it had not been timely filed and appellant had failed to file a cost bond as ordered by the District Court;
4. The jurisdiction invoked by appellant does not apply to the case at hand.

HIBBARD, CALDWELL, BOWERMAN,  
SCHULTZ & HERGERT

By /s/ Donald B. Bowerman  
Donald B. Bowerman OSB# 59011  
Of Attorneys for Defendants  
West Linn School District No. 3J  
and Larry G. Hibbard

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ROLF BETKA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff-Appellant,	)	
	)	No. 82-5754
v.	)	
	)	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
STATE OF OREGON, RICHARD B.	)	PURSUANT TO RULE 28.2
SPOONER, HOWARD CLYMAN,	)	
DOUGLAS S. ROBERTSON, GARY R.	)	TERM: OCTOBER 1982
OLSEN, BOARD OF EDUCATION OF	)	
WEST LINN HIGH SCHOOL	)	
DISTRICT NO. 3J, and LARRY G.	)	
HIBBARD,	)	
	)	
Defendant-Appellees.	)	

STATE OF OREGON            )  
                                  ) ss.  
County of Clackamas )

I, DONALD B. BOWERMAN, being first duly sworn, depose  
and say:

I am one of the attorneys for defendants-appellees West  
Linn School District No. 3J and Larry G. Hibbard.

On November 16, 1982, plaintiff-appellant's Jurisdictional  
Statement was received by me.

On December 15, 1982, a true and correct copy of the fore-  
going Motion to Dismiss or Affirm was served on each of the  
following individuals by depositing same in the United States  
mail in Oregon City, Oregon, enclosed in a sealed envelope with  
postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed as follows:

T. D. Norwood  
Assistant Attorney General, State of Oregon  
505 Pacific Building  
520 SW. Yamhill Street  
Portland, Oregon 97204

Of Attorneys for Respondents-Appellees  
State of Oregon and Richard B. Spooner

R. Erick Johnson, Esq.  
1000 Willamette Center  
Portland, Oregon 97204

Of Attorneys for Respondents-Appellees  
Howard Clyman and Gary R. Olsen



Emil R. Berg, Esq.  
One SW. Columbia  
Portland, Oregon 97204

Of Attorneys for Respondent-Appellee  
Douglas S. Robertson

Rolf Betka, In Pro Per  
19886 S. White Cloud Circle  
West Linn, Oregon 97068

Plaintiff-Appellant

/s/ Donald B. Bowerman  
DONALD B. BOWERMAN

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 15th day of December,  
1982.

/s/ Paddy K. Reddaway  
Notary Public for Oregon  
My Commission Expires: 11/22/85